	Case	8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 218-1 #:7359	Filed 01/17/13 P	age 1 of 41 Page ID
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. Philip J. Graves (SBN 153441) pgraves@swlaw.com Greer N. Shaw (SBN 197960) gshaw@swlaw.com Deborah S. Mallgrave (SBN 198603) dmallgrave@swlaw.com 350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2600 Two California Plaza Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: (213) 929-2500		
	8 9 10 11	Facsimile: (213) 929-2525 Attorneys for Plaintiff James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, In d/b/a Glidewell Laboratories	c.	
WILMER P. ————————————————————————————————————	12 13 14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
SNELL & WILME 150 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE SUITE 2600 TWO CALIFORNIA PLAZA LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90077	15 16	JAMES R. GLIDEWELL DENTAL CERAMICS, INC.,		/11-01309-DOC(ANx)
	17 18 19	Plaintiff, vs. KEATING DENTAL ARTS, INC.,	WONG IN SUGLIDEWELL LIMINE #6 TO	ON OF WILLIAM PPORT OF 'S MOTION IN D EXCLUDE ANY COUNSEL DEFENSE
	202122	Defendant.	BY KEATING	DENTAL ARTS, INC.
	232425	AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS.	Hearing Date: January Time: 8:30 a.m Ctrm: 9D, Hon	28, 2013 1. 1. David O. Carter
	262728		Pre-Trial Conf. Jury Trial:	: January 28, 2013 February 26, 2013
				DECLARATION OF WILLIAM WONG 1/S/O GLIDEWELL'S MIL NO. 6

CASE NO. SACV11-01309 DOC (ANx)

I, William Wong, declare:

- 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of California and am an associate in the law firm of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P., counsel for Plaintiff James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc. ("Plaintiff") in the above-entitled action. I have first-hand, personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and, if called to testify, could and would competently testify to those facts.
- 2. This declaration is submitted in support of Glidewell's Motion In Limine No. 6 To Exclude Any Advice of Counsel Defense by Defendant Keating Dental Arts, Inc. ("Keating"), filed concurrently herein.
- 3. Attached as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of Keating's First Initial Disclosures, served on December 5, 2011.
- 4. Attached as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of Keating's Second Amended Initial Disclosures, served on September 27, 2012.
- 5. Attached as Exhibit 3 is a true and correct copy of Exhibit 88 to Glidewell's Appendix of Evidence In Support Of Its Motion For Summary Judgment. (Dkt. #90-30, Ex. 88) This Exhibit is Keating's Third Amended Initial Disclosures, served on October 19, 2012.
- 6. Keating has not produced any documents to Glidewell concerning Keating's advice of counsel defense, nor listed them on a privilege log.
- 7. Keating never produced responsive documents dating to the period when Keating purportedly "conferred with" Mr. Gourde concerning potential adoption of the KDZ Bruxer mark.
- 8. Keating did not provide a privilege log as to responsive documents, if any, dating to the period when Keating purportedly "conferred with" Mr. Gourde concerning potential adoption of the KDZ Bruxer mark.
- 9. Attached as Exhibit 4 is a true and correct copy of my November 13, 2012 letter to Keating's counsel, Mr. David G. Jankowski, requesting a copy of the

Brandon.	
10.	Attached as Exhibit 5 is a true and correct copy of an email chain
between M	r. Jankowski and I, dated from November 13, 2012 to November 15,
2012, rega	rding my request for a copy of the search results documents referenced in
the Octobe	r 16, 2012 Deposition of Robert Brandon. In response, on November 15,
2012, Mr.	Jankowski informed me that Keating had "searched for, but not located,

search results documents referenced in the October 16, 2012 Deposition of Robert

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

the search result documents referenced by Mr. Brandon during his deposition."

Executed on January 17, 2013, at Los Angeles, California.

EXHIBIT 1

im Defendant ΓES DISTRICT COURT ΓRICT OF CALIFORNIA
TES DISTRICT COURT FRICT OF CALIFORNIA
TES DISTRICT COURT FRICT OF CALIFORNIA
TES DISTRICT COURT FRICT OF CALIFORNIA
TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
IERN DIVISION
}
}
Civil Andies Ma
Civil Action No. SA-CV-ll-01309-DOC(ANx)
INITIAL DISCLOSURES PURSUANT TO FEDERAL
RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDUR 26(a)(1) AND LR 26-1
}
}

INITIAL DISCLOSURES UNDER RULE 26(a)(1) Civ. Action No. SA-CV-II-01309-DOC(ANx)

F

Exhibit 1, Page 4

(i) The name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each individual likely to have discoverable information — along with the subjects of that information — that the disclosing party may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment; information:

5

6

7

8

10 11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

21

22 23

24 25 Expert witnesses

26 27

28

Address/ Subjects of the Likely Discoverable Website/ Witness/ Information Email Address Telephone 16881 Hale Ave. Lack of confusion, and Irvine, CA 92606 related facts Shaun Keating (800) 433-9833 16881 Hale Ave. Irvine, CA 92606 Lack of confusion, and Bob Brandon related facts (800) 433-9833 R-Dent Dental Labratories 6590 Summer Knoll Cove Glidewell demands to Daxton Grubb Bartlett, TN 38134 stop using R BRUX Trademark, and related daxton@rdentlab.c www.rdentlab.com (901) 372-8020 facts om Authentic Dental Lab Glidewell demands to Robert P. Marbach 1950 Bandera Rd. stop using "BRUX" crowns on Authentic's San Antonio, TX 78228 sevices@authenticl website, and related www.authenticlab.com (201) 735-1433 ab. com facts Sentage Corporation 5775 Wayzata Blvd. Rick Everson Suite 890 Minneapolis, MN 55416 BRUX-EZE Trademark reverson@dentalse www.dentalservices.net (952) 345-6300 rvices.net usage, and related facts Dentists/Customers To be identified

(ii) A copy — or a description by category and location — of all documents, electronically stored information ("ESI"), and tangible things that the disclosing

To be identified

party has in its possession, custody, or control and may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment:

<u>Disclosure</u>: Pursuant to appropriate terms of confidentiality under a Protective Order, Keating will make available for inspection and copying responsive documents, ESI, and tangible things as indicated below. Defendant notes that Defendant already has identified to Plaintiff at least certain of the items listed. Also subject to an appropriate Protective Order and further review, Defendants may produce or make available under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 additional documents/evidence, as those may come to the attention of Defendant.

Description, etc.

The trademarks and related information disclosed in the attachment to an email dated November 16, 2011 to Plaintiff's counsel Mr. Tachner, from Defendant's undersigned counsel.

Correspondence from Glidewell to third parties regarding the use by those third parties of the term BRUX or BRUX-related words.

Defendant's order forms and related records, as provided to and as received from dentists.

Defendant's sales records relating the products sold under Defendant's trademark KDZ BRUXER AND DESIGN.

(iii) A computation of each category of damages claimed by the disclosing party — who must also make available for inspection and copying as under Rule 34 the documents or other evidentiary material, unless privileged or protected from disclosure, on which each computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered.

<u>Disclosure</u>: Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff has not calculated its damages at this time, and is not in possession of the information necessary to do to. Among other things, Defendant and Counter-Defendant anticipates (1) possibly hiring an expert to do so, and (2) forwarding that expert's report in compliance with all applicable Rules and Orders.

INITIAL DISCLOSURES UNDER RULE 26(a)(1) Civ. Action No. SA-CV-II-01309-DOC(ANx)

In any case, such damages are likely to be based at least in part on obtaining an award from the Court of misuse of trademark and/or other bad faith violations by Plaintiff, the amount and nature of Plaintiff's unlawful activities in improperly restricting lawful competition, the extent to which remedial communications and advertising may be necessary to attempt to redress same, and other factors. Those issues and activities appear to be continuing and therefore the subject of ongoing discovery.

Among other things, the damages are likely to include calculations of Plaintiff's profits and/or Defendant's lost profits. In addition, Defendant reserves the right to appropriately designate with appropriate terms of confidentiality under a Protective Order any and/or all such disclosures. Notwithstanding the foregoing, for the convenience of the parties and the Court, Defendant identifies at least the following categories of damages it expects will be included in the foregoing disclosures.

Category of Damages
attorney fees and costs
unitive damages
ost sales
nterference with existing/prospective business relationship
Damage to business reputation
mployee time
Out-of-pocket expenses
Other

(iv) For inspection and copying as under Rule 34, any insurance agreement under which an insurance business may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment.

<u>Disclosure</u>: Pursuant to appropriate terms of confidentiality under a Protective Order, Keating will make available for inspection and copying the following insurance policy:

The Hartford Business Liability Policy 72 SBA AB1425, with 1 effective dates of 09/04/2010 to 09/04/2011 and 09/04/2011 to 2 09/04/2012. 3 4 Defendant expressly reserves the right to supplement these Initial 5 Disclosures under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e), without prejudice to its 6 right to use such subsequently discovered information and documents at trial or at 7 any proceeding in this action. 8 The undersigned counsel certifies under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9 26(g) that, after reasonable inquiry and to the best of his/her knowledge, the 10 disclosures contained above are accurate and complete as of the present time. 11 12 Respectfully submitted, 13 14 15 Dated: 2011-12-05 /J. Mark Holland/ 16 J. Mark Holland J. MARK HOLLAND & ASSOCIATES 17 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff KEATING 18 19 Z/Winword/KEAT/E3844/Pleadings/Other-MiscVinitual Disclosures Glidewell v Keating Dental doc 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

PROOF OF SERVICE

Civil Case No. SA-CV-11-01309DOC(Anx)

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am employed in the City and County of Orange, State of California, in the office of a member of the bar of this court, at whose direction this service was made. I am over the age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to or interested in the within-entitled action. I am an employee of J. MARK HOLLAND AND ASSOCIATES, and my business address is 3 San Joaquin Plaza, Suite, 210, Newport Beach, California 92660.

On December 5, 2011, I caused to be served in the manner indicated below the following documents:

NAME OF DOCUMENT SERVED: INITIAL DISCLOSURES UNDER RULE 26(a)(1)

	MAIL being familiar with the practice of this office for the collection and the processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and deposited in the United States mail copies of same to the addresses set forth below, in a sealed envelope, with postage fully prepaid.
X	Email by personally transmitting same via an email between the hours of 9:00 AM and 5:00 PM to the email address(es) set forth below.
ì	FACSIMILE by personally transmitting same via an electronic facsimile machine between the hours of 9:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. to the facsimile number(s) set forth below and the transmission was reported as complete and without error.
	PERSONAL DELIVERY and personally delivered, or caused to be delivered, same to each of the persons at the addresses listed below or, in the absence of the attorneys named below, by personally delivery the envelope(s) to his/her clerk or the person in charge of the office.
	FEDERAL EXPRESS for delivery the following business day by placing same for collection in the nearest Federal Express Deposit Box or Federal Express Office to the business addresses set forth below.

Mr. Leonard Tachner
Leonard Tachner, a professional law corporation
17961 Sky Park Circle Suite 38-E
Irvine, CA 92614

Jforemantachlaw@aol.com, ltachner@aol.com, tachlaw@aol.com

Executed on December 5, 2011, at Newport Beach, California.

/s/Kristin Brown

INITIAL DISCLOSURES UNDER RULE 26(a)(1) Civ. Action No. SA-CV-II-01309-DOC(ANx)

EXHIBIT 2

- 11		
1 2 3 4	Lynda J. Zadra-Symes (SBN 156,511) Lynda.Zadra-Symes@kmob.com Jeffrey L. Van Hoosear(SBN: 147,751) Jeffrey.VanHoosear@kmob.com David G. Jankowski (SBN 205,634) David.iankowski@kmob.com KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BE 2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor	
5 6	Irvine, CA 92614 Phone: (949) 760-0404 Facsimile: (949) 760-9502	
7 8	Attorneys for Defendant/Counter-Plain Keating Dental Arts, Inc.	tiff,
9	IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE CENTRAL DI	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SOUTHER	N DIVISION
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JAMES R. GLIDEWELL DENTAL CERAMICS, INC. dba GLIDEWELL LABORATORIES, Plaintiff/Counter-defendant, v. KEATING DENTAL ARTS, INC. Defendant/Counter-Plaintiff.	Civil Action No. SACV11-01309-DOC(ANx) SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURES OF KEATING DENTAL ARTS, INC. PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26(a)(1) Honorable David O. Carter
20 21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1), without waiving any claim of privilege, work produced or other basis for non-disclosure, Defendant Keating Dental Arts, Inc. ("Keating"), hereby provides the following Second Amended Disclosures.

(i) The name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each individual likely to have discoverable information - along with the subjects of that information - that the disclosing party may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment; information:

Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subject of the Likely Discoverable Information
Shaun Keating	16881 Hale Ave. Irvine, CA 92606 (800) 433-9833	Use of generic term "bruxer," lack of confusion, and related facts
Bob Brandon	16881 Hale Ave. Irvine, CA 92606 (800) 433-9833	Use of generic term "bruxer," lack of confusion, and related facts
Daxton Grubb daxton@rdentlab.com	R-Dent Dental Labratories 6590 Summer Knoll Cove Bartlett, TN 38134 www.rdentlab.com 372-8020	Glidewell demands to stop using R BRUX Trademark, and related facts
Robert P. Marbach sevices@authenticlab com	Authentic Dental Lab 1950 Bandera Rd. San Antonio, TX 78228 www.authenticlab.com 735-1433	Glidewell demands to stop using "BRUX" crowns on Authentic's website, and related facts
Rick Everson reverson@dentalservices .net	Sentage Corporation 5775 Wayzata Blvd. Suite 890 Minneapolis, MN 55416 www.dentalservices.net 345-6300	BRUX-EZE Trademark and related facts
Robin A. Carden	Glidewell Laboratories 4141 MacArthur Blvd Newport Beach, CA 92660 (800) 854-7256	Use of "bruxer" and "zirconia" as generic terms.

Case 8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 218-1 Filed 01/17/13 Page 14 of 41 Page ID #:7372

Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subject of the Likely Discoverable Information
Dr. William Belton	403 Vonderburg Dr. Suite 201 Brandon, FL 33511 (813) 689-5098	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzin and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. David Bonner	101 Binkley PO Box 592 Dumas, TX 79029 (806) 935-6811	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzin and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Jonathan Campbell	Legacy Dental 1345 E. 3900 South Suite 116 Salt Lake City, UT 84124 (801) 278-4223	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Joseph Jacquinot	Platteville Dental 1270 N. Water St. Platteville, WI 53818 (608) 348-2393	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Dennis Murphy	310 Terrace Ave. Suite #102 Cincinnati, OH 45220 (513) 221-1550	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Terry L. Myers	109 Apple Valley Parkway Belton, MO 64012 (816) 331-4200	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.

Case 8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 218-1 Filed 01/17/13 Page 15 of 41 Page ID #:7373

Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subject of the Likely Discoverable Information
Dr. Samir Rana	60 Beaverbrook Rd. Lincoln Park, NJ 07035 (973) 633-5666	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzii and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Michael Razzano	12910 Hwy 92 #107 Woodstock, GA 30188 (770) 592-2600	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzin and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown, Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Stan Richardson	780 Nissan Dr. Smyrna, TN 37167 (615) 355-1062	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzin and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Trevor Scheff	6300 Limestone Rd. Suite D Hockessin, DE 19707 (302) 239-7277	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Scott Stephens	2538 E Joyce Blvd. Fayetteville, AR 72703 (479) 442-3915	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Robert T. Wooton	3000 N Interstate 35 Austin, TX 78705 (512) 472-2246	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzin and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.

Case 8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 218-1 Filed 01/17/13 Page 16 of 41 Page ID #:7374

Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subject of the Likely Discoverable Information
Dr. Tony D. Wu	155 E. 38th Suite 2D New York, NY 10016 (212) 682-0888	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzin and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Gary P. Tobin	16055 Ventura Blvd Suite 1035 Encino, CA 91436 (818) 990-5240	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzin and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Jenny Harris	2504 Lake Austin Blvd Austin, TX 78703 (512) 474-5233	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Joseph Ting	3461 US Highway 22 East Branchburg, NJ 08876 (908) 203-1998	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Raymond Brady	2700 Bellflower Blvd. Suite 306 Long Beach, CA 90815 (562) 420-1301	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Michael Colleran	1250 Peach Street San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 (805) 543-0814	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.

-4-

Case 8:11 cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 218-1 Filed 01/17/13 Page 17 of 41 Page ID #:7375

Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subject of the Likely Discoverable Information
Dr. Richard Scott	215 N. State College Blvd. Suite E Anaheim, CA 92806 (714) 635-0892	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Daniel Sweet	1990 Shaw Avenue Suite C Clovis, CA 93611 (559) 298-2575	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. George Tashiro	558 E. Wardlow Rd. Long Beach, CA 90807 (562) 427-1221	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Thomas Nussear	40 S. Main St. Smithburg, MD 21783 (301) 824-2080	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Carol Frattura	22286 Vick Street Port Charlotte, FL 33980 (888) 625-5757	Glidewell demands to stop using Zir-Bruxer Crown mark and related facts. Use of "bruxer" and similar words by dentists when ordering all zirconia crowns.
Dr. David Eggleston	1441 Avocado Ave Suite 508 Newport Beach, CA 92660 (949) 640-5680	Expert testimony regarding use of term "bruxer" and related terms in the dental industry.
Expert witnesses to be identified		

(ii) A copy - or a description by category and location - of all documents, electronically stored information ("ESI"), and tangible things that the disclosing

1 party has in its possession, custody, or control and may use to support its claims 2 or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment: 3 Disclosure: Pursuant to appropriate terms of the Confidentiality Order, Keating will make available for inspection and copying responsive documents, ESI, and tangible things as indicated below. Defendant notes that Defendant already has identified to Plaintiff at least certain of the 4 5 items listed. Also subject to an appropriate Protective Order and further review, Defendants may produce or make available under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 additional documents/evidence, as those may come to the attention of Defendant. 6 8 Description, etc. 9 The trademarks and related information disclosed in the attachment to an email dated November 16,2011 to Plaintiff's counsel Mr. Tachner, from Defendant's 10 counsel. Correspondence from Glidewell to third parties regarding the use by those third 11 parties of the term BRUX or BRUX-related words. 12 Defendant's order forms and related records, as provided to and as received 13 from dentists. Defendant's sales records relating the products sold under Defendant's 14 trademark KDZ BRUXER AND DESIGN. 15 Scholarly articles using the terms "zirconia" or "bruxer", "brux", "bruxism", or other related terms with a root of "brux." 16 17 Patents and patent applications using the terms "zirconia" or "bruxer", "brux", "bruxism", or other terms with a root of "brux." 18 Advertisements from Glidewell Laboratories showing use of "bruxzir" to 19 indicate the product itself and not as a brand name. Copies of material from Glidewell's websites www.glidewelldental.com, www.bruxzir.com as well as other advertisements by Glidewell. 20 21 Defendant's and third party advertisements that show use of the term "bruxer," "brux," or other related words to refer generically to a bruxer crown product. 22 23

(iii) A computation of each category of damages claimed by the disclosing party ~ who must also make available for inspection and copying as under Rule 34 the documents or other evidentiary material, unless privileged or protected from disclosure, on which each computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered.

24

25

26

27

Disclosure: Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff has not calculated its damages at this time, and is not in possession of the information necessary to do so. Among other things, Defendant and Counter-Defendant anticipates (1) possibly hiring an expert to do so, and (2) forwarding that expert's report in compliance with all applicable Rules and Orders.

In any case, such damages are likely to be based at least in part on obtaining an award from the Court of misuse of trademark and/or other bad faith violations by Plaintiff, the amount and nature of Plaintiff's unlawful activities in improperly restricting lawful competition, the extent to which remedial communications and advertising may be necessary to attempt to redress same, and other factors. Those issues and activities appear to be continuing and therefore the subject of ongoing discovery.

Among other things, the damages are likely to include calculations of Plaintiff's profits and/or Defendant's lost profits. In addition, Defendant reserves the right to appropriately designate with appropriate terms of confidentiality under the Confidentiality Order any and/or all such disclosures. Notwithstanding the foregoing, for the convenience of the parties and the Court, Defendant identifies at least the following categories of damages it expects will be included in the foregoing disclosures.

Category of Damages

13 Attorney fees and costs 14

15 Punitive damages

16 Lost sales

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17 Interference with existing/prospective business relationships

18 Damages to business reputation

19 Employee time

20 Out-of-pocket expenses

21 Other

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(iv) For inspection and copying as under Rule 34, any insurance agreement under which an insurance business may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment.

Disclosure: Pursuant to appropriate terms of confidentiality under the Confidentiality Order, Keating will make available for inspection and copying the following insurance policy:

-7-

The Hartford Business Liability Policy 72 SBA AB1425, with effective dates of 09/04/2011 to 09/04/2011 and 09/04/2011 to 2 0910412012. 3 Defendant expressly reserves the right to further supplement these Initial 4 5 Disclosures under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e), without prejudice to its right to use such subsequently discovered information and documents at trial 6 or at any proceeding in this action. 8 9 The undersigned counsel certifies under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 10 26(g) that, after reasonable inquiry and to the best of his/her knowledge, the Disclosures contained above are accurate and complete as of the present time. 11 12 13 KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 14 15 Dated: September 27, 2012 By: /s/ Lynda J. Zadra-Symes Lynda J. Zadra-Symes 16 Jeffrey L. Van Hoosear David G. Jankowski 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 18 Keating Dental Arts, Inc. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - 8 -

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States of America and I am employed in Irvine, California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor, Irvine, California. 1 am readily familiar with the firm's business practices for the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing, and that mail so processed will be deposited the same day during the ordinary course of business.

On September 27, 2012, I caused the within SECOND AMENDED DISCLOSURES OF KEATING DENTAL ARTS, INC. PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26(a)(1) to be served on the parties or their counsel shown below, by placing it in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

Via Electronic and First Class Mail:

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Leonard Tachner, Esq. LEONARD TACHNER, A Professional Law Corp. 17961 Sky Park Circle, Suite 38-E

Irvine, CA 92614-6364 Email: ltachner@aol.com

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on September 27, 2012 at Irvine, California.

-9-

EXHIBIT 3

EXHIBIT 88

-1695-

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1), without waiving any claim of privilege, work produced or other basis for non-disclosure, Defendant Keating Dental Arts, Inc. ("Keating"), hereby provides its Third Amended Initial Disclosures.

(i) The name and, if known, the address and telephone number of each individual likely to have discoverable information - along with the subjects of that information - that the disclosing party may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment; information:

Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subiect of the Likelv Discoverable Information
Shaun Keating	16881 Hale Ave. Irvine, CA 92606 (800) 433-9833	Use of generic term "bruxer," lack of confusion, and related facts
Bob Brandon	16881 Hale Ave. Irvine, CA 92606 (800) 433-9833	Use of generic term "bruxer," lack of confusion, and related facts
Daxton Grubb daxton@rdentlab.com	R-Dent Dental Laboratories 6590 Summer Knoll Cove Bartlett, TN 38134 www.rdentlab.com 372-8020	Glidewell demands to stop using R BRUX Trademark, and related facts
Robert P. Marbach sevices@authenticlab com	Authentic Dental Lab 1950 Bandera Rd. San Antonio, TX 78228 www.authenticlab.com 735-1433	Glidewell demands to stop using "BRUX" crowns on Authentic's website, and related facts
Rick Everson reverson@dentalservices .net	Sentage Corporation 5775 Wayzata Blvd. Suite 890 Minneapolis, MN 55416 www.dentalservices.net 345-6300	BRUX-EZE Trademark and related facts
Robin A. Carden	Glidewell Laboratories 4141 MacArthur Blvd Newport Beach, CA 92660 (800) 854-7256	Use of "bruxer" and "zirconia" as generic terms.

Case 8:141-62-01309-00C-AN Decument 3080 Filed 01/1/1/3/13 Page 28 of 457 Page ID #:3384

Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subject of the Likel Discoverable Information
Dr. William Belton	403 Vonderburg Dr. Suite 201 Brandon, FL 33511 (813) 689-5098	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxz and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicat generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. David Bonner	101 Binkley PO Box 592 Dumas, TX 79029 (806) 935-6811	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxz and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicat generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Jonathan Campbell	Legacy Dental 1345 E. 3900 South Suite 116 Salt Lake City, UT 84124 (801) 278-4223	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxz and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicat generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Joseph Jacquinot	Platteville Dental 1270 N. Water St. Platteville, WI 53818 (608) 348-2393	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxz and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicat generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Dennis Murphy	310 Terrace Ave. Suite #102 Cincinnati, OH 45220 (513) 221-1550	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxz and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicat generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Terry L. Myers	109 Apple Valley Parkway Belton, MO 64012 (816) 331-4200	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxz and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicat generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.

- 2 -

Case 8:141-62-01309-000C-AN Decument 3080 Filed 01/1/1/3/13 Page 279 of 1457 Page ID #:3385

Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subject of the Likely Discoverable Information
Dr. Samir Rana	60 Beaverbrook Rd. Lincoln Park, NJ 07035 (973) 633-5666	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer.
		"Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Michael Razzano	12910 Hwy 92 #107 Woodstock, GA 30188 (770) 592-2600	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzin and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of
Dr. Stan Richardson	780 Nissan Dr. Smyrna, TN 37167 (615) 355-1062	bruxer crown. Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzin and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form
		meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Trevor Scheff	6300 Limestone Rd. Suite D Hockessin, DE 19707 (302) 239-7277	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Scott Stephens	2538 E Joyce Blvd. Fayetteville, AR 72703 (479) 442-3915	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Robert T. Wooton	3000 N Interstate 35 Austin, TX 78705 (512) 472-2246	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzi and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.

- 3 **-**

Exhibit 88 -1698-

28

Exhibit 3, Page 26

Case 8:111-62-01309-00C-AN Decument 3080 Filed 01/1/1/3/13 Page 28 of 457 Page ID #:3386

·			
1 2	Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subject of the Likely Discoverable Information
3	Dr. Tony D. Wu	155 E. 38th Suite 2D	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir
4		New York, NY 10016 (212) 682-0888	and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form
<i>5</i>			meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of
[bruxer crown.
7 8	Dr. Gary P. Tobin	16055 Ventura Blvd Suite 1035 Encino, CA 91436	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer.
9		(818) 990-5240	"Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate
10			generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of
ĺ			bruxer crown.
11	Dr. Jenny Harris	2504 Lake Austin Blvd Austin, TX 78703	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir
12		(512) 474-5233	and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form
13			meant to communicate generic term for a
14			bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
15	Dr. Joseph Ting	3461 US Highway 22 East Branchburg, NJ 08876	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir
16		(908) 203-1998	and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form
17			meant to communicate
18			generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of
19	Dr. Raymond Brady	2700 Bellflower Blvd.	bruxer crown. Absence of confusion
20		Suite 306 Long Beach, CA 90815	between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer.
21		(562) 420-1301	"Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate
22			generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of
23	Dr. Michael Colleran	1250 Peach Street	bruxer crown. Absence of confusion
24		San Luis Obispo, CA 93401 (805) 543-0814	between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer.
25			"Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate
26			generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of
27			bruxer crown.
- '			

- 4 -

Casse 8: 141-6x-0/1309-1500C = AN Decument 3080 Filed 01/1/10142 Prage 291 of 1457 Prage ID #: 3387

Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subject of the Likely Discoverable Information
Dr. Richard Scott	215 N. State College Blvd. Suite E Anaheim, CA 92806 (714) 635-0892	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Daniel Sweet	1990 Shaw Avenue Suite C Clovis, CA 93611 (559) 298-2575	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. George Tashiro	558 E. Wardlow Rd. Long Beach, CA 90807 (562) 427-1221	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzir and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Dr. Thomas Nussear	40 S. Main St. Smithburg, MD 21783 (301) 824-2080	Absence of confusion between marks Bruxzii and KDZ Bruxer. "Bruxzir" on Rx form meant to communicate generic term for a bruxer crown. Use of bruxer crown.
Carol Frattura	22286 Vick Street Port Charlotte, FL 33980 (888) 625-5757	Glidewell demands to stop using Zir-Bruxer Crown mark and relate facts. Use of "bruxer" and similar words by dentists when ordering all zirconia crowns.
Dr. David Eggleston	1441 Avocado Ave Suite 508 Newport Beach, CA 92660 (949) 640-5680	Expert testimony regarding use of term "bruxer" and related terms in the dental industry.

- 5 -

26

27

Case 8:141-0v-0/1309-DOC-AN Decument 3080 Filed 04/A7/A2 Page 32 of 497 Page 1D

Witness Email Address	Address/Website/Telephone	Subject of the Likely Discoverable Information
Lori Boatright	Blakely Sokoloff Taylor Zafman LLP 12400 Wilshire Blvd. Seventh Floor Los Angeles, CA 90025 (310) 207-3800	Expert testimony regarding USPTO rules and procedures; the USPTO's examination of the mark BRUXZIR; the validity of the mark BRUXZIR; other rebuttals to the opinions of Prof. Franklyn.
Scott Hudson	Old Dominion Milling Corp. 5700 Old Richmond Ave. Suite G-20 Richmond, VA 23226 (804) 285-0777	Glidewell's enforcement efforts associated with the mark BRUXZIR; language used by the dental industry.

(ii) A copy - or a description by category and location - of all documents, electronically stored information ("ESI"), and tangible things that the disclosing party has in its possession, custody, or control and may use to support its claims or defenses, unless the use would be solely for impeachment:

Disclosure: Pursuant to appropriate terms of the Confidentiality Order, Keating will make available for inspection and copying responsive documents, ESI, and tangible things as indicated below. Defendant notes that Defendant already has identified to Plaintiff at least certain of the items listed. Also subject to an appropriate Protective Order and further review, Defendants may produce or make available under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34 additional documents/evidence, as those may come to the attention of Defendant.

Description, etc.

The trademarks and related information disclosed in the attachment to an email dated November 16, 2011 to Plaintiff's counsel Mr. Tachner, from Defendant's counsel.

Correspondence from Glidewell to third parties regarding the use by those third parties of the term BRUX or BRUX-related words.

Defendant's order forms and related records, as provided to and as received from dentists.

Description, etc. 2 Defendant's sales records relating the products sold under Defendant's trademark KDZ BRUXER AND DESIGN. 3 4 Scholarly articles using the terms "zirconia" or "bruxer", "brux", "bruxism", or other related terms with a root of "brux." 5 6 Patents and patent applications using the terms "zirconia" or "bruxer", "brux", "bruxism", or other terms with a root of "brux." 7 8 Advertisements from Glidewell Laboratories showing use of "bruxzir" to indicate the product itself and not as a brand name. Copies of material from 9 Glidewell's websites www.glidewelldental.com, www.bruxzir.com as well as other advertisements by Glidewell. 10 11 Advertisements by third parties showing the offering for sale, and sale, of commercially available products for the dental industry using brand names that include "Brux," "Zir," or variations thereon. 12 13 Advertisements by dental laboratories, including dental laboratories that do 14 business with Glidewell Laboratories, showing the offering for sale, and sale, of full contour zirconia crowns that do not originate from Glidewell. 15 16 Defendant's and third party advertisements that show use of the term "bruxer," "brux," or other related words to refer generically to a bruxer crown product. 17 18 19 (iii) A computation of each category of damages claimed by the 20 disclosing party ~ who must also make available for inspection and copying as under Rule 34 the documents or other evidentiary material, unless privileged or 21 22 protected from disclosure, on which each computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered. 23 <u>Disclosure</u>: Defendant and Counterclaim-Plaintiff has not calculated its damages at this time, and is not in possession of the information necessary to do so. Among other things, Defendant and Counter-Defendant anticipates (1) possibly hiring an expert to do so, and (2) forward in that appears the same appears to the same appears to the same appears that appears that appears the same appears to the same ap 24 25 (2) forwarding that expert's report in compliance with all applicable Rules 26 and Orders. 27 In any case, such damages are likely to be based at least in part on 28 obtaining an award from the Court of misuse of trademark and/or other

bad faith violations by Plaintiff, the amount and nature of Plaintiff's unlawful activities in improperly restricting lawful competition, the extent to which remedial communications and advertising may be necessary to attempt to redress same, and other factors. Those issues and activities appear to be continuing and therefore the subject of ongoing discovery.

Among other things, the damages are likely to include calculations of Plaintiff's profits and/or Defendant's lost profits. In addition, Defendant reserves the right to appropriately designate with appropriate terms of confidentiality under the Confidentiality Order any and/or all such disclosures. Notwithstanding the foregoing, for the convenience of the parties and the Court, Defendant identifies at least the following categories of damages it expects will be included in the foregoing disclosures.

Category of Damages
Attorney fees and costs
Punitive damages
Lost sales
Interference with existing/prospective business relationships
Damages to business reputation
Employee time
Out-of-pocket expenses
Other

(iv) For inspection and copying as under Rule 34, any insurance agreement under which an insurance business may be liable to satisfy all or part of a possible judgment in the action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment.

<u>Disclosure</u>: Pursuant to appropriate terms of confidentiality under the Confidentiality Order, Keating will make available for inspection and copying the following insurance policy:

The Hartford Business Liability Policy 72 SBA AB1425, with effective dates of 09/04/20 1 0 to 09/04/2011 and 09/04/2011 to 1 0910412012. 2 3 Defendant expressly reserves the right to further supplement these Initial 4 Disclosures under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(e), without prejudice to 5 its right to use such subsequently discovered information and documents at trial 6 7 or at any proceeding in this action. 8 The undersigned counsel certifies under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 9 26(g) that, after reasonable inquiry and to the best of his/her knowledge, the 10 Disclosures contained above are accurate and complete as of the present time. 11 12 KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP 13 14 15 Dated: October 19, 2012 By: /s/ David G. Jankowski Lynda J. Zadra-Symes 16 Jeffrey L. Van Hoosear David G. Jankowski 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff, 18 Keating Dental Arts, Inc. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - 9 -

PROOF OF SERVICE

I am a citizen of the United States of America and I am employed in Irvine, California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 2040 Main Street, Fourteenth Floor, Irvine, California. I am readily familiar with the firm's business practices for the collection and processing of correspondence for mailing, and that mail so processed will be deposited the same day during the ordinary course of business.

On October 19, 2012, I caused the within THIRD AMENDED DISCLOSURES OF KEATING DENTAL ARTS, INC. PURSUANT TO FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 26(a)(1) to be served on the parties or their counsel shown below, by placing it in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

Via Electronic and First Class Mail:

Leonard Tachner, Esq. LEONARD TACHNER, A Professional Law Corp.

17961 Sky Park Circle, Suite 38-E

Irvine, CÁ 92614-6364 Email: ltachner@aol.com

I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on October 19, 2012 at Irvine, California.

Consuelo Gutierrez

- 10 -

EXHIBIT 4

Case 8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 218-1 Filed 01/17/13 Page 36 of 41 Page ID

Snell & Wilmer LAW OFFICES

DENVER

LAS VEGAS

LOS ANGELES

LOS CABOS

ORANGE COUNTY

PHOENIX

SALT LAKE CITY

TUCSON

350 South Grand Avenue Suite 2600 Two California Plaza Los Angeles, CA 90071 213.929.2500 213.929.2525 (Fax) www.swlaw.com

William Wong (213) 929-2638 wwong@swlaw.com

November 13, 2012

VIA E-MAIL

David G. Jankowski Knobbe, Martens, Olson, & Bear LLP 2040 Main Street, 14th Floor Irvine, CA 92614 David.Jankowski@Knobbe.com

James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc. vs. Keating Dental Arts, Inc.,

Case No.: SACV11-01309 DOC (ANx)

Dear David:

During the depositions of Robert D. Brandon and Diane M. Donich, defendant Keating Dental Arts, Inc. ("Defendant") agreed to produce certain documents as identified below. After a reasonably diligent search through Defendant's documents, Glidewell is unable to locate such documents. In light of the impending summary judgment motions, Glidewell requests that Defendant produce such documents immediately. If Defendant believes that is has produced such documents, Glidewell respectfully requests that Defendant provide Glidewell with the bates numbers assigned to the documents or re-produce the documents as soon as reasonably possible, but no later than close of business, November 14, 2012. Thank you very much for your cooperation.

- (1) Sales documents: October 16, 2012 Deposition of Robert D. Brandon at 66:25-67:8;
- (2) Search results documents: October 16, 2012 Deposition of Robert D. Brandon at
- (3) Letters: October 17, 2012 Deposition of Diane M. Donich at 34:18-23.

Sincerely,

William Wong

EXHIBIT 5

Case 8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 218-1 Filed 01/17/13 Page 38 of 41 Page ID #:7396

Wong, William

From: David.Jankowski <david.jankowski@knobbe.com>

Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 11:06 AM

To: Wong, William

Cc: Graves, Philip; Shaw, Greer; Mallgrave, Deb

Subject: RE: James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc. vs. Keating Dental Arts, Inc., Case No.:

SACV11-01309 DOC (ANx)

Will,

The sales documents referenced by Mr. Brandon during his deposition were produced by Keating a month ago. They may be found at KDA-004237 through KDA-004528. Please note that they are designated Attorneys Eyes Only under the Protective Order.

The letter referenced by Ms. Donich during her deposition was also produced by Keating a month ago. It is a form letter that may be found at KDA-004236.

Please be advised that we have searched for, but not located, the search result documents referenced by Mr. Brandon during his deposition.

Regards,

-David

David Jankowski

Partner

David.Jankowski@knobbe.com

949-721-6334 Direct

Knobbe Martens

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

five decades. one focus.

2040 Main Street, 14th Floor

Irvine, CA 92614

www.knobbe.com/david-jankowski

From: Wong, William [mailto:wwong@swlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2012 10:23 AM

To: David.Jankowski

Cc: Graves, Philip; Shaw, Greer; Mallgrave, Deb

Subject: RE: James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc. vs. Keating Dental Arts, Inc., Case No.: SACV11-01309 DOC (ANx)

Mr. Jankowski,

I have not heard back from you regarding my November 13, 2012 requesting Defendant's documents identified in the following deposition transcripts:

- (1) Sales documents: October 16, 2012 Deposition of Robert D. Brandon at 66:25-67:8;
- (2) Search results documents: October 16, 2012 Deposition of Robert D. Brandon at

93:12-18;

(3) Letters: October 17, 2012 Deposition of Diane M. Donich at 34:18-23.

Case 8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 218-1 Filed 01/17/13 Page 39 of 41 Page ID #:7397

In light of the impending summary judgment motions, Glidewell requests that Defendant produce such documents immediately. If Defendant believes that is has produced such documents, Glidewell respectfully requests that Defendant provide Glidewell with the bates numbers assigned to the documents or re-produce the documents, immediately. Thank you for your cooperation.

-Will.

WILL WONG

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
Two California Plaza
350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2600
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3406
Tel: 213.929.2638

Fax: 213.929.2525 wwong@swlaw.com

PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this electronic mail message is confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above, and may be privileged. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (213–929–2500), and delete the original message.

From: Wong, William

Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 12:00 PM

To: 'David.Jankowski@Knobbe.com'

Cc: Graves, Philip; Shaw, Greer; Mallgrave, Deb

Subject: James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc. vs. Keating Dental Arts, Inc., Case No.: SACV11-01309 DOC (ANx)

Dear Mr. Jankowski,

Please see attached.

Thanks, -Will.

WILL WONG

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
Two California Plaza
350 South Grand Avenue, Suite 2600
Los Angeles, CA 90071-3406
Tel: 213.929.2638

Fax: 213.929.2525 wwong@swlaw.com

PRIVILEGE AND CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

The information contained in this electronic mail message is confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above, and may be privileged. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended

Case 8:11-cv-01309-DOC-AN Document 218-1 Filed 01/17/13 Page 40 of 41 Page ID #:7398

recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone (213-929-2500), and delete the original message.

NOTICE: This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message.

Attorneys for Defendant Keating Dental Arts, Inc. Tel: (949) 760-0404 Fax: (949) 760-9502

David.Jankowski@kmob.com Lynda.Zadra-symes@kmob.com Darrell.Olson@knobbe.com litigation@kmob.com

Attorneys for Defendant Keating Dental Arts, Inc. Tel: (949)833-8550

drobinson@enterprisecounsel.com jazadian@enterprisecounsel.com

James R. Glidewell Dental Ceramics, Inc. dba GLIDEWELL LABORATORIES

> Certificate of Service SACV11-01309-DOC (ANx)